

CSC 742
Database Management Systems
Topic #5:
Relational Model

Spring 2002

CSC 742: DBMS by Dr. Peng Ning

1

Motivation

A relation is a mathematical abstraction for a table

- The theory of relations provides an elegant basis for databases
- Relational databases can be efficiently implemented on current architectures


Spring 2002

CSC 742: DBMS by Dr. Peng Ning

2

Relation Model

- A relation is a table of values



- Each tuple represents a collection of related data.
- All values in a column are of the same data type.

Is this a relation?

Student

Name	Student ID	Emails	Major
Smith	17	{ smith@csc.ncsu.edu, smith@unity.ncsu.edu, smith@eos.ncsu.edu }	CS
Brown	8	{ brown@unity.ncsu.edu }	CS

Domain D

- A domain of an attribute specifies all possible values the attribute can have.
- A domain is a set of **atomic** values.
 - ◆ Each value in the domain is indivisible.
 - ◆ Often expressed as a data type.
- Describe the domains of the following attributes
 - ◆ US_Phone_number:
 - ◆ Age:
 - ◆ Social_Security_Number:
 - ◆ Name:

Relation Schema

- A relation schema $R(A_1 \dots A_n)$ describes the intension of a relation
 - ◆ R: relation name
 - ◆ A_1, \dots, A_n : a list of attributes
 - ◆ n: the degree of a relation of this schema.
 - ◆ attributes A_i name the roles or columns
 - ◆ $\text{dom}(A_i) = D_i$: the domain of A_i .
 - ◆ attributes are distinct but may share their domain

An Example Schema

- Student(Name, SSN, HomePhone, Address, OfficePhone, Age, GPA)
 - ◆ Relation name: ?
 - ◆ Attributes: ?
 - ◆ Domains of the attributes: ?
 - ◆ Degree:

Relation

- A relation $r(R)$ is an instance of the corresponding relation schema R .
 - ◆ Set of tuples of the form $\langle v_1 \dots v_n \rangle$
 - ◆ Each tuple is an ordered list
 - ◆ v_i belongs to $\text{dom}(A_i)$ or is null
 - ◆ thus v_i is atomic: definition of 1NF
 - ◆ Also called *relation extension*

A Mathematical Definition of Relation

- A relation $r(R)$ is a mathematical relation of degree n of the domains $\text{dom}(A_1), \text{dom}(A_2), \dots, \text{dom}(A_n)$, which is a subset of the Cartesian product of the domains that define R :

$$r(R) \subseteq (\text{dom}(A_1) \times \text{dom}(A_2) \times \dots \times \text{dom}(A_n))$$

- Mind exercise:
 - ◆ What is the number of all possible relations of R ?

Achtung!

The ordering of

- attributes is important mathematically, but not in practice
- tuples is not important mathematically, but is in practice

An Alternative Definition of Relation

- A relation schema $R = \{A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n\}$ is a set of attributes.
- A relation $r(R)$ is a finite set of *mappings*
 $r = \{t_1, t_2, \dots, t_m\}$,
 - ◆ where each t_i is a mapping from R to D ,
 - ◆ D is the union of $\text{dom}(A_i)$'s,
 - ◆ $t[A_i]$ must be in $\text{dom}(A_i)$.
- Each tuple can be considered as a set of attribute-value pairs.

Notation

- Schemas: Q, R, S
- Instances: q, r, s
- Tuples: t, u, v
- $t[A_i] = v_i$, where $t = \langle v_1 \dots v_n \rangle$
- $t[A_i, A_j, \dots, A_k] = \langle v_i, v_j, \dots, v_k \rangle$ (subtuple)

Relational Databases

- A relational database schema is
 - ◆ A set of relation schemas $S = \{R_1, R_2, \dots, R_m\}$ and
 - ◆ A set of integrity constraints IC.
- A relational database is a set of relations $DB = \{r_1, r_2, \dots, r_m\}$ such that
 - ◆ each r_i is a relation of R_i ,
 - ◆ and r_i satisfies the constraints in IC.

Constraints

- Constraints that can specified on relational schemas.
 - ◆ Domain constraints
 - ◆ Key constraints
 - ◆ Constraint about NULL
 - ◆ Reference integrity constraints

Domain Constraints

- v_i belongs to $\text{dom}(A_i)$
- Domains map to standard data types

Key Constraints

- Superkey: subset of $\{A_i \dots A_n\}$ that uniquely identifies R
- Key: minimal superkey
- Because $r(R)$ must be sets, $\{A_i \dots A_n\}$ is always a superkey
- Candidate key: key
- Primary key: any single key so designated

An Example

Car

<u>LicenseNumber</u>	EngineSerialNumber	Make	Model	Year
Texas ABC-739	A691324	Ford	Mustang	1996
Florida TVP-234	B43123123	Toyota	Camery	2000
NC 341-1324	2HG32341235	Honda	Civic	1998

- Super Keys:
- Keys:
- Candidate Keys:
- Primary Key:

Spring 2002

CSC 742: DBMS by Dr. Peng Ning

17

Entity Integrity Constraints

- No primary key attribute may be null
- Motivation: a violation would be analogous to an uninitialized object

Spring 2002

CSC 742: DBMS by Dr. Peng Ning

18

Referential Integrity Constraints

- Specified on two relations
 - ◆ Note that the previous constraints are on individual relations.
- Intuition
 - ◆ If a tuple in one relation refers to a tuple in another relation, the second tuple should exist.
 - ◆ Specified through *foreign keys*.

Foreign Keys

- A set of attributes, FK in relation R1, is foreign key iff
 - ◆ Attributes in FK occur as (primary) key in R2
 - ◆ FK reference or refer to the relation R2.

An Example

Driver

Name	<u>SSN</u>	BirthDate	Car
Brown	123-45-6789	01/01/50	Texas ABC-739
Smith	222-33-4444	02/22/60	Florida TVP-234
John	444-55-6666	05/01/56	NC 341-1324

Car

<u>LicenseNumber</u>	EngineSerialNumber	Make	Model	Year
Texas ABC-739	A691324	Ford	Mustang	1996
Florida TVP-234	B43123123	Toyota	Camery	2000
NC 341-1324	2HG32341235	Honda	Civic	1998

Spring 2002

CSC 742: DBMS by Dr. Peng Ning

21

Referential Integrity Constraints

- If $t[\text{FK}]$ in r_1 is all non-null, then u with $u[\text{FK}] = t[\text{FK}]$ exists in r_2
- Motivation: a violation is analogous to a dangling pointer

Spring 2002

CSC 742: DBMS by Dr. Peng Ning

22

Semantic Integrity Constraints

- Application-specific constraints
 - ◆ typically involve restrictions on the values of an attribute with respect to some other attributes
 - ◆ some varieties of them may be specified as assertions in SQL2

General Strategy for Operations

- When legal, execute
- When illegal
 - ◆ reject
 - ◆ restore consistency (referential integrity)
 - ◆ change referenced tuple (relation)
 - ◆ change referencing tuple

Insert

Insert $\langle v_1 \dots v_n \rangle$ into R (means current instance)

- execute: just add it
- reject, e.g., when the key is already used
- Correct the problem
 - ◆ Ask user to create referenced tuple or change the referencing tuple.
 - ◆ Creating referenced tuple may be cascading.

An Example

Student

Name	<u>StudentID</u>	Class	Major
Smith	17	1	CS
Brown	8	2	CS

- Insert
 - ◆ $\langle \text{John}, \text{"N/A"}, 2, \text{"CS"} \rangle$
 - ◆ $\langle \text{John}, \text{NULL}, 2, \text{"CS"} \rangle$
 - ◆ $\langle \text{John}, 17, 2, \text{"CS"} \rangle$
 - ◆ $\langle \text{John}, 18, 2, \text{"CS"} \rangle$



Delete

Delete $\langle v_1 \dots v_n \rangle$ from R

- execute: remove
- reject, e.g., if other tuples refer to it
- Correct the problem
 - ◆ cascade by deleting referring tuples
 - ◆ change values to null or default



Modify

- As above

An Example

Grade

<u>StudentID</u>	<u>Course</u>	...	Grade
17	CSC 742	...	A
8	CSC 742	...	B+

Student

Name	<u>StudentID</u>	Class	Major
Smith	17	1	CS
Brown	8	2	CS

- Consider the following operations:
 - ◆ Delete the 1st tuple from Student.
 - ◆ Insert <18, "CSC742", ..., "A-?"> into Grade
 - ◆ Change StudentID of the 1st tuple from 17 to 15.
- Options: reject, correct with cascade, correct by changing the values (set to NULL, or a valid value).